

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,753	03/30/2001	Tuqiang Ni	2328-053	5171
7590 01/03/2005			EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP			ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L	
Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/03/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Annicont(c)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/821,753	NI ET AL.				
·	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Luz L. Al jandro	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondenc address				
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee may be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s): See Continuation Shee	<u>t</u> .				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a) will not be entered or to would be rejected is provided be	o)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	· :	,				
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .	•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-13,17,18,20-23,25,26,28,30</u>) and 31.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14,16,24						
B.□ The drawing correction filed on is a)□ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement Other:						
To	(Luz L. Alejandro Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection of claims 1-6, 8-13, 17-18, 20-23, 25-26, 28, and 30-31 under 35 USC 112, first pargraph and the objection to the specification under 35 USC 132.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is clear that the etching is what causes the rounded trench structure in Bhardwaj et al. (see col. 4-lines 3-11). The deposition process forms the passivation layer ov r the trench and therefore the portion of the process that forms the rounded trench can be considered to be solely the etching portion of the process. Furthermore, ramping or gradually changing the RF power is also discussed in figs. 19A-19B and col. 8-line 57 to col. 9-line 26). While applicant states that the instant invention does not form a passivation layer, the claims are not limited to the omission of this feature.